

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/253,174	02/19/1999	AMAD TAYEBI		5304
	7590 08/02/2002			
AMAD TAYEBI			EXAMINER	
5 SEQUOIA ROAD WESTFORD, MA 01886			AHMAD, NASSER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1772 DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002	25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	-8-
	09/253,174	TAYEBI, AMAD	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nasser Ahmad	1772	
The MAILING DATE f this communicati	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondenc address	
HE REPLY FILED 25 July 2002 FAILS TO PLAC nerefore, further action by the applicant is require tal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eit andition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of teamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	ed to avoid abandonment of thi her: (1) a timely filed amendm Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	
	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) \(\infty\) The period for reply expires 4 months from the ma	iling date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REF 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 to have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by nely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	y expire later than SIX MONTHS from PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT (a). The date on which the petition ur e period of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory perion of the Office later than three months af	the mailing date of the final rejection.  THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  Inder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exter  Inding amount of the fee. The appropriate exter  Inding amount of the fee. The appropriate exter  Inding amount of the fee. The appropriate exter  Inding amount of the final Office action	nsion ension n; or
A Notice of Appeal was filed on 25 July 2002 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (	2. Appellant's Brief must be file	ed within the period set forth in missal of the appeal.	
☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent			
(a) they raise new issues that would requir	e further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without NOTE:	canceling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_would be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendme	ent
i.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ requapplication in condition for allowance because	uest for reconsideration has be use: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	een considered but does NOT place th	ne
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider raised by the Examiner in the final rejection		SOLELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl	ndment(s) a)□ will not be ento aims would be rejected is prov	ered or b) will be entered and an ided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
I.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	statement(s)( PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	
0. Other:		19m Almas	
		Nasser Ahmad \ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772	

j

ć



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant has merely disagreed about the rejections made in the Office Action of March 25, 2002 without any grounds of arguments .